

IRB Annual Survey 2014 Results

HSC

- **157 Respondents**
 - 30% Faculty
 - 43% Research staff
 - 10% Students
- **Evaluation of the IRB**
 - The IRB is supportive/respectful
 - The IRB reviews applications in a timely fashion
 - **The IRB overestimates the magnitude and probability of risk**
 - **Turn-around does not reflect level of risk**
 - Compliments to staff: Erik, John, Marie, Lori, DeAnn, Nasairah, Yu,
- **Suggested areas for improvement**
 - Responsiveness to calls
 - Consistency between reviews
 - Update consent template
 - Clarify/streamline IRB process with CIC

UPC

- **109 Respondents**
 - 25% Faculty
 - 20% Research staff
 - 40% Students
- **Evaluation of the IRB**
 - The IRB is responsive
 - The IRB is prompt, provides feedback
 - **The IRB is over sensitive**
 - Compliments to RoseAnn and Ryan
- **Suggested areas for improvement**
 - “flag issues before review”

ISTAR

- **Suggestions**
 - Ability to save a template submission
 - Ability to view training certificate expiration dates
 - **Ability to retrieve copies of training certificates**
 - **Updated guidance throughout**
 - Consistency between ISTAR reminders and expiration of CITI training certificates
 - Evaluate ISTAR compared to other e-submission systems
 - Display amendment status in main inbox